



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:

VISHAL BANSAL ET AL.

SERIAL NO.: 09/883,471

FILED: JUNE 18, 2001

CASE NO.: SS3190USNA

GROUP ART UNIT: 1771

EXAMINER: JOHN J. GUARRIELLO

FOR: MULTIPLE COMPONENT SPUNBOND
WEB AND LAMINATES THEREOFAMENDMENTAssistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

JUN 14 2002

TC 1700

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 29, 2002, the period for response having been extended one (1) month to May 29, 2002, Applicants submit the following comments and request reconsideration of the rejections set forth in the first Office Action:

REMARKS

Claims 1-29 remain pending in the present application. Initially, Applicants note that the Examiner failed to provide a copy of Lickfield et al. (US Patent no. 5,484,645) with the outstanding Office Action. While Applicants have obtained a copy of the patent, the Examiner is requested to forward a copy of PTO Form 892 citing Lickfield et al. for Applicants' file.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2d ¶

Claims 1, 12 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2d ¶, as being indefinite due to the phrase "distinct zones". Applicants traverse this basis for rejection and respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal thereof.

The Examiner objects to the phrase "distinct zones" because the specification "does not clearly define them".

Initially, Applicants submit that those skilled in the art would have no difficulty understanding what is meant by the language of claim 1, for example, which is specifies 'multiple component filaments made of two different polymers which are arranged in substantially distinct zones across the cross-section of the filaments'.

06 JUN 2002 TRESHAW 00000015 041328 03883471

01 FC:115 110.00 54